IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 336 of 2006 (M/B)

1. Smt. Sunita W/o Sri Dinesh Kumar,
   R/o Gobardhan Das Praduman Nagar,
   Saharanpur, District Saharanpur (U.P.)
2. Dinesh Kumar S/o Late Sri Gobardhan Das,
   R/o Gobardhan Das Praduman Nagar,
   Saharanpur, District Saharanpur (U.P.).
3. Smt. Meena W/o Late Sri Gobardhan Das,
   R/o village Mirzapur, P.S. Badgaon,
   District Saharanpur (U.P.).
4. Pawan Kumar S/o Late Sri Gobardhan Das,
   R/o Village Mirzapur, P.S. Badgaon,
   District Saharanpur (U.P.). …… Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal,
   through Secretary (Home), Civil Secretariat,
   Uttaranchal, Dehradun.
2. Station House Officer,
   Police Station Bhagwanpur,
   District Haridwar.
3. Rameshwar S/o Sri Daya Ram,
   R/o Village Chauli Plot,
   Police Station Bhagwanpur,
   District Haridwar. ……. Respondents.

Mr. R.P. Nautiyal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Sri H.C. Pande, Addl. G.A. for respondents 1 and 2.
None for respondent no. 3.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

Mr. R.P. Nautiyal, the learned counsel for the petitioners.

Sri H.C. Pande, the learned Additional Government Advocate for respondents 1 and 2.

None for respondent no. 3.

2. Sri Pande, the learned Addl. Government Advocate submits that the writ petition filed by the petitioners seeking quashing of the first information report and the case registered against them has

now become infructuous as the police after completion of the investigation has submitted charge-sheet against the petitioners before the concerning Magistrate.

3. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) 06.06.2006

(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.) 06.06.2006

A